1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California MATTHEW M. DAVIS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General DEMOND L. PHILSON		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 220220		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 322-9674		
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-2247 Attorneys for Complainant		
8		OF THE	
	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10		1	
11	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against:	Case No. 800-2016-026240	
12	Dan Sodusta Mallada, M.D.	DEFAULT DECISION AND ORDER	
13	342 West Trenton Ave. Clovis, CA 93611	[Gov. Code, §11520]	
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate		
15	No. C 43360,		
16	Respondent.	·	
17			
18	FINDING:	S OF FACT	
19	1. On or about May 29, 1996, the Medi	cal Board Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate	
20	Number C 43360 to (Respondent). Respondent'	s Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate expired	
21	on February 28, 2014 and is in a delinquent statu	s. A true and correct copy of the Certificate of	
22	Licensure is attached as Exhibit 1 in the separate	e accompanying "Default Decision Evidence	
23	Packet."1		
24	2. On or about December 29, 2016, Con	mplainant Kimberly Kirchmeyer, in her official	
25	capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer		
26	Affairs, filed the Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2016-026240 against Dan Sodusta		
27 28	The Exhibits referred to herein, which a contained in the separate accompanying "Default	are true and correct copies of the originals, are t Decision Evidence Packet."	

Mallada (Respondent) before the Medical Board of California. A true and correct copy of the Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2016-026240 and related documents is attached as **Exhibit** 2 in the separate accompanying "Default Decision Evidence Packet."

- 3. On or about December 29, 2016, Richard M. Acosta, an employee of the Complainant Agency, served respondent with the instant Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2016-026240, Statement to Respondent, Notice of Defense, Request for Discovery, and Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7, via certified and first class mail, at Respondent's address of record with the Board: 342 West Trenton Ave., Clovis, CA 93611 certified return receipt no. 7012-3460-0000-2386-6440. A true and correct copy of the Declaration of Service is attached as **Exhibit 3** in the separate accompanying "Default Decision Evidence Packet." The service was returned as Not deliverable as addressed/Unable to Forward Receipt no. 7012-3460-0000-2386-6440. A true and correct copy of the Return of Service is attached as **Exhibit 4** in the separate accompanying "Default Decision Evidence Packet."
- 4. On or about June 2, 2017, the California Department of Justice, Office of the Attorney General, served respondent with a Courtesy Notice of Default No. 800-2016-026240, Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2016-026240, and Notice of Defense, via certified and first class mail, on two alternative known addresses for Respondent: 342 West Trenton Ave., Clovis, CA 93611 certified return receipt no. 7012-3460-0000-2386-6440, and 342 West Trenton Ave., Clovis, CA 93619 certified return receipt no. 9214890160882500005677. A true and correct copy of the Courtesy Notice of Default is attached as **Exhibit 5** and the Declarations of Service are attached as **Exhibit 6** in the separate accompanying "Default Decision Evidence Packet." The services were returned as Return to Sender/Not deliverable as addressed/Unable to Forward Receipt nos. 7012-3460-0000-2386-6440, and 9214890160882500005677. True and correct copies of the Return of Services are attached as **Exhibit 7** in the separate accompanying "Default Decision Evidence Packet."
- 5. A true and correct copy of the declaration of Deputy Attorney General Demond L. Philson is attached as **Exhibit 8** in the separate accompanying "Default Decision Evidence Packet," and is incorporated herein by reference. The facts alleged therein are deemed true and

shall form part of the administrative record relied upon by the agency in its determination of the issues.

- 6. A true and correct copy of the declaration of probation monitor Christina Valencia is attached as **Exhibit 9** in the separate accompanying "Default Decision Evidence Packet," and is incorporated herein by reference. The facts alleged therein are deemed true and shall form part of the administrative record relied upon by the agency in its determination of the issues.
- 7. Service of the Petition to Revoke Probation was effective as a matter of law under the provisions of Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).
 - 8. Government Code section 11506 states, in pertinent part:
- "(c) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if the respondent files a notice of defense, and the notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file a notice of defense shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing."
- 9. Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense within 15 days after service upon her of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation, and therefore waived her right to a hearing on the merits of Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2016-026240.
 - 10. California Government Code section 11520 states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) If the respondent either fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent."
- 11. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, the Board finds Respondent is in default. The Board will take action without further hearing and, based on Respondent's express admissions by way of default and the evidence before it, contained in Default Decision Evidence Packet, finds that the allegations in the Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2016-026240 are true and correct.

26 | ///

27 | ///

28 | ///

JURISDICTION

- 12. Section 2227 of the Code states:
- "(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
- (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
- (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
- (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
- (5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
- (b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith

PROBATION VIOLATIONS

- 13. Respondent's probation in Case No. 800-2016-026240 is subject to revocation and he has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 43360 is subject to disciplinary action, for violating Standard Probation Conditions #14 QUARTERLY REPORTS, and #15 PROBATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM, by the following:
 - a. Failure to maintain a renewed and current Physician's and Surgeon's License,
 - b. Failure to submit quarterly/semiannual declarations,
 - c. Failure to respond to requests for interviews
 - d. Failure to maintain a current Address of Record with the Board.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

- 1. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, Respondent Dan Sodusta Mallada has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 43360 to revocation.
- 2. A copy of the Petition to Revoke Probation and the related documents and Declaration of Service are attached in the Default Decision Evidence Packet.
 - 3. The agency has jurisdiction to adjudicate this case by default.
- 4. Pursuant to the authority under California Government Code section 11520, and based on the evidence before it, the Board hereby finds that the charges and allegations contained in Petition Revoke No. 800-2016-026240, and the Findings of Fact contained in paragraphs 1 through 13, above, and each of them, separately and severally, are true and correct.

ORDER

IT IS SO ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 43360, heretofore issued to Respondent Dan Sodusta Mallada is revoked.

Pursuant to Government Code section 11520, subdivision (c), Respondent may serve a written motion requesting that the Decision be vacated and stating the grounds relied on within seven (7) days after service of the Decision on Respondent. The agency in its discretion may vacate the Decision and grant a hearing on a showing of good cause, as defined in the statute.

This Decision shall become effective on September 29, 2017, at 5 p.m.

It is so ORDERED August 30, 2017

FOR THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

Kimberly Kirchmeyer Executive Director

1	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California	
2	MATTHEW M. DAVIS Supervising Deputy Attorney General STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
.3	DEMOND L. PHILSON Deputy Attorney General MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO Dec. 129.6	
4	State Bar No. 220220 1300 I Street, Suite 125	
5	P.O. Box 944255 Sacramento, CA 94244-2550	
6	Telephone: (916) 322-9674 Facsimile: (916) 327-2247	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8 9		
10	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12		
13	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against, Case No. 800-2016-026240	
14	DAN SODUSTA MALLADA, M.D. PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION	
15	342 West Trenton Ave. Clovis, CA 93611	
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C 43360	
17	Respondent.	
18		
19		
20	Complainant alleges:	
21	<u>PARTIES</u>	
22	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Petition to Revoke Probation solely	
23	in her official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department	
24	of Consumer Affairs.	
25	2. On or about May 29, 1996, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and	
26	Surgeon's Certificate Number C 43360 to Dan Sodusta Mallada, M.D. (Respondent). The	
27	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate expired on February 28, 2014, and has not been renewed.	
28	111	
	1	

PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION (800-2016-026240)

3. In a disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of Accusation Against Dan Sodusta Mallada, M.D.," Case No. 08-2001-119217, the Medical Board of California, issued a decision, effective December 20, 2001, in which Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was placed on probation for a period of five (5) years with certain terms and conditions. A copy of that decision is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 5. Section 2227 of the Code states:
- "(a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
- (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
- (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
- (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
- (5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
- (b) Any matter heard pursuant to subdivision (a), except for warning letters, medical review or advisory conferences, professional competency examinations, continuing education activities, and cost reimbursement associated therewith that are agreed to with the board and successfully

25

26

2.7

28

completed by the licensee, or other matters made confidential or privileged by existing law, is deemed public, and shall be made available to the public by the board pursuant to Section 803.1."

FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Submit Quarterly Reports)

6. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's Probation, Condition No. 14 stated:

"Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation."

- 7. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition 14, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. On or about December 21, 2001, Respondent was placed on probation and was in pended (non-practice) status. Respondent was notified that while in pended status, any period of time the Respondent is not practicing medicine in California for at least 40 hours in a calendar month, the probation clock stops and the probationer is relieved from completing the Optional conditions of his probation with the exception of the following Standard condition:
- QUARTERLY REPORTS. Respondent failed to comply with the Standard condition.
 - B. Respondent failed to submit quarterly/semiannual declarations.
- 8. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition 14, referenced above.

SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Failure to Comply with Probation Surveillance Program)

9. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's Probation, Condition No. 15 stated:

"Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of his business and residence addresses which shall

¹ Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2002, the "Division of Medical Quality" or "Division" shall be deemed to refer to the Medical Board of California.

2.7

both serve as addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Respondent shall, at all times, maintain a current and renewed physician's and surgeon's license.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts or is contemplated to last more than thirty (30) days."

- 10. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition 15, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
- A. On or about December 21, 2001, Respondent was placed on probation and was in pended (non-practice) status. Respondent was notified that while in pended status, any period of time the Respondent is not practicing medicine in California for at least 40 hours in a calendar month, the probation clock stops and the probationer is relieved from completing the Optional conditions of his probation with the exception of the following Standard condition: PROBATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE. Respondent failed to comply with the Standard condition.
 - B. Respondent's license has been expired since February 28, 2014.
- C. Respondent failed to maintain a renewed and current physician's and surgeon's License.
 - D. Respondent failed to respond to requests for interviews.
 - E. Respondent failed to maintain a current Address of Record with the Board.
- 11. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition 15, referenced above.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

Exhibit A

Decision and Order

Medical Board of California Case No. 08-2001-119217

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

DAN SODUSTA MALLADA, M.D. 5730 N. First Street, Suite 105 PMB #205, Fresno, CA 93710

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C-43360

Respondent.

Case No. 08-2001-119217

OAH No. N-2001-070160

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective on <u>December 20, 2001 at 5:00 p.m.</u>

It is so ORDERED November 20, 2001

HAZEM H. CHEHABT, M.D., President

FOR THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
I do hereby certify that this document is a true
and correct copy of the original on file in this

£002.

9//9/20/6 Date

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General		
2	of the State of California ISA R. RODRIGUEZ, State Bar No. 104838	, · · · ·	
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice		
4	2550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090 Fresno, California 93721	· ·	
5	Telephone: (559) 444-2417 Facsimile: (559) 488-7387		
6	Attorneys for Complainant		
7	† · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
8	BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
9			
10	STATE OF CAL		
11	To the Matter of the Accusation According	Case No. 08-2001-119217	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	OAH No. N-2001-070160	
13	DAN SODUSTA MALLADA, M.D. 5730 N. First Street, Suite 105	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND	
14.	PMB #205 Fresno, CA 93710	DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
15	Division of Comments Continues		
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C-43360		
17	Respondent.		
18		· ·	
19	In the interest of a prompt and speedy	settlement of this matter, consistent with the	
20	public interest and the responsibility of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of		
-21-	California of the Department of Consumer Affairs, the parties hereby agree to the following		
22	Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order which will be submitted to the Division for		
23	approval and adoption as the final disposition of the Accusation.		
24	PARTIE	<u>S</u>	
25	1. Ron Joseph (Complainant) is	the Executive Director of the Medical Board	
26	of California. He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented in this		
27	matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, by Isa R. Rodriguez, Deputy		
28	Attorney General.	· ·	

2-1-2-2

28 | ///

2. Respondent is representing himself in this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.

3. On or about May 29, 1996, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C- 43360 to DAN SODUSTA MALLADA, M.D. (Respondent). The certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 08-2001-119217 and will expire on February 28, 2002, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 08-2001-119217 was filed before the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs, (Division), and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation, together with all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on July 9, 2001, and Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 08-2001-119217 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 08-2001-119217. Respondent has also carefully read, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

20.

<u>2</u>4

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 08-2001-119217, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C-43360.
- 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C-43360 is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Division's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CIRCUMSTANCES IN MITIGATION

11. Respondent DAN SODUSTA MALLADA, M.D. was initially licensed to practice medicine in the State of New Jersey in 1982 before moving to California. Respondent has never been the subject of any disciplinary action. Respondent was also in the United States Army where he was a recipient of unit commendations for education and safety programs. Additionally, while in the army, respondent served in the Persian Gulf conflict. He is acknowledging responsibility at an early stage in the proceedings in order to avoid the uncertainty and expense of going to hearing.

RESERVATION

12. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

13. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Division. Respondent understands and agrees that the Medical Board of California's staff and counsel for Complainant may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without

б

notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Division considers and acts upon it. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- 14. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Division may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. C-43360 issued to Respondent DAN SODUSTA MALLADA, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

Within 15 days after the effective date of this decision the respondent shall provide the Division, or its designee, proof of service that respondent has served a true copy of this decision on the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent or at any other facility where respondent engages in the practice of medicine and on the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier where malpractice insurance coverage is extended to respondent.

- 1. <u>ACTUAL SUSPENSION</u> As part of probation, respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for 6 months beginning from June 26, 2001, the date the Interim Suspension Order issued in this matter (OAH No. N-2001-060184).
- 2. <u>DRUGS & ABSTAIN FROM USE</u> Respondent shall abstain completely from the personal use or possession of controlled substances as defined in the California Uniform

Controlled Substances Act, and dangerous drugs as defined by Section 4211 of the Business and Professions Code, or any drugs requiring a prescription. This prohibition does not apply to medications lawfully prescribed to respondent for a *bona fide* illness or condition by another practitioner.

- 3. <u>ALCOHOL ABSTAIN FROM USE</u> Respondent shall abstain completely from the use of alcoholic beverages.
- 4. <u>BIOLOGICAL FLUID TESTING</u> Respondent shall immediately submit to biological testing, at respondent's cost, upon the request of the Division or its designee.
- this decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval an educational program or course to be designated by the Division or its designee which shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge which shall not be less than 20 hours per year, for each year of probation. This program shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for re-licensure.

 Following the completion of each course, the Division or its designee may administer an examination to test respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 45 hours of continuing medical education of which 20 hours were in satisfaction of this condition and were approved in advance by the Division or its designee.
- 6. <u>ETHICS COURSE</u> Within sixty (60) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in Ethics approved in advance by the Division or its designee, and shall successfully complete the course during the first year of probation.
- PROGRAM Within ninety (90) days from the effective date of this decision, Respondent, at his expense, shall enroll in the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine (hereinafter, the "PACE Program") and shall undergo assessment, clinical training and examination. First, the Respondent shall undergo the comprehensive assessment program including the measurement of medical skills and knowledge, the appraisal of physical health and psychological testing. After assessment, the

14.

PACE Evaluation Committee will review all results and make a recommendation to the Division or its designee, the Respondent and other authorized personnel as to what clinical training is required, including scope and length, treatment of any medical or psychological condition, and any other factors affecting the Respondent's practice of medicine. The Respondent shall undertake whatever clinical training and treatment of any medical or psychological condition as may be recommended by the PACE Program.

Finally, at the completion of the PACE Program, Respondent shall submit to an examination on its contents and substance. The examination shall be designed and administered by the PACE faculty. Respondent shall not be deemed to have successfully completed the program unless he/she passes the examination. Respondent agrees that the determination of the PACE Program faculty as to whether or not he/she has passed the examination and/or successfully completed the PACE Program shall be binding.

Respondent shall complete the PACE Program no later than six (6) months after his/her initial enrollment unless the Division or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion.

If Respondent successfully completes the PACE Program, including the examination referenced above, he/she agrees to cause the PACE representatives to forward a Certification of Successful Completion of the program to the Division or its designee.

If Respondent fails to successfully complete the PACE Program within the time limits outlined above, he shall be suspended from the practice of medicine.

Failure to participate in, and successfully complete all phases of the PACE Program, as outlined above, shall constitute a violation of probation.

pass an oral clinical exam or written exam in the subject of anesthesiology and administered by the Division or its designee. This examination shall be taken within sixty (60) days after completion of the PACE Program. If respondent fails the first examination, respondent shall be allowed to take and pass a second examination, which may consist of a written as well as an oral examination. The waiting period between the first and second examinations shall be at least

.

18[°]

21.

three (3) months. If respondent fails to pass the first and second examinations, respondent may take a third and final examination after waiting a period of one (1) year. Failure to pass the oral clinical examination within eighteen (18) months after the effective date of this decision shall constitute a violation of probation. The respondent shall pay the costs of all examinations. For purposes of this condition, if respondent is required to take and pass a written exam, it shall be either the Special Purpose Examination (SPEX) or equivalent examination as determined by the Division or its designee.

Respondent shall not practice medicine until respondent has passed the required examination and has been so notified by the Division or its designee in writing. This prohibition shall not bar respondent from practicing in a clinical training program approved by the Division or its designee. Respondent's practice of medicine shall be restricted only to that which is required by the approved training program.

9. <u>PSYCHIATRIC EVALUATION</u> Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, and on a periodic basis thereafter as may be required by the Division or its designee, respondent shall undergo a psychiatric evaluation (and psychological testing, if deemed necessary) by a Division-appointed psychiatrist, who shall furnish an evaluation report to the Division or its designee. The respondent shall pay the cost of the psychiatric evaluation.

If respondent is required by the Division or its designee to undergo psychiatric treatment, respondent shall within thirty (30) days of the requirement notice submit to the Division for its prior approval the name and qualifications of a psychiatrist of respondent's choice. Respondent shall undergo and continue psychiatric treatment until further notice from the Division or its designee. Respondent shall have the treating psychiatrist submit quarterly status reports to the Division or its designee indicating whether the respondent is capable of practicing medicine safely.

In furtherance of this provision, respondent agrees to sign a medical release authorizing the Division-appointed psychiatrist access to respondent's psychiatric/medical records. Information obtained in this manner shall be used to ensure a complete and accurate psychiatric evaluation.

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine until notified by the Division, or its designee, of its determination that respondent is mentally fit to practice safely.

If the evaluation or the treating psychiatrist's report determines that respondent is not mentally fit to practice medicine safely, then respondent shall be suspended from the practice of medicine until a repeat evaluation establishes that he can practice safely, as evidenced by written notice to respondent from the Division or its designee.

that respondent requires ongoing psychotherapy, respondent shall, within sixty (60) days of notice of this determination, submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval the name and qualifications of a psychotherapist of respondent's choice. Upon approval, respondent shall undergo and continue treatment until the Division or its designee deems that no further psychotherapy is necessary. Respondent shall have the treating psychotherapist submit quarterly status reports to the Division or its designee. The Division or its designee may require respondent to undergo psychiatric evaluations by a Division-appointed psychiatrist. If, prior to the termination of probation, respondent is found not to be mentally fit to resume the practice of medicine without restrictions, the Division shall retain continuing jurisdiction over the respondent's license and the period of probation shall be extended until the Division determines that the respondent is mentally fit to resume the practice of medicine without restrictions. The respondent shall pay the cost of the therapy and evaluations.

11. MONITORING Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval a plan of practice in which respondent's practice shall be monitored by another physician in respondent's field of practice, who shall provide periodic reports to the Division or its designee.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within fifteen (15) days, move to have a new monitor appointed, through nomination by respondent and approval by the Division or its designee.

Respondent is prohibited from engaging in solo practice.

12. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS</u> During probation,

13. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u> Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.

 14. <u>OUARTERLY REPORTS</u> Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of his business and residence addresses which shall both serve as addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Respondent shall, at all times, maintain a current and renewed physician's and surgeon's license.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of any travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) days.

16. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION, ITS DESIGNEE OR ITS</u>

<u>DESIGNATED PHYSICIAN(S)</u> Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division, its designee or its designated physician(s) upon request at various intervals and with

reasonable notice.

17. TOLLING FOR OUT-OF-STATE PRACTICE, RESIDENCE OR IN-

STATE NON-PRACTICE In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to

practice outside the State or for any reason should respondent stop practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten (10) days of

the dates of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is

defined as any period of time exceeding thirty (30) days in which respondent is not engaging in

any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code. All time spent in an intensive training program approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary order.

- 18. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 19. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u> If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- Division the amount of \$4,000 for its investigative and prosecution costs. The reimbursement shall be made in four equal installments of \$1,000 (one thousand dollars) each, due every 6 months, with the first installment due six months after the effective date of this decision.

 Installment payments, shall be by way of money order or cashier's check made payable to the order of the Medical Board of California. Failure to reimburse the Division's cost of investigation and prosecution shall constitute a violation of the probation order, unless the Division agrees in writing to payment by an installment plan because of financial hardship. The filing of bankruptcy by the respondent shall not relieve the respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs.
- 21. <u>PROBATION COSTS</u> Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Division, which are currently set at \$2,488, but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Division of Medical Quality and delivered to the designated probation surveillance monitor

Attorneys for Complainant

Exhibit A
Accusation No. 08-2001-119217

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California	FILED
2	ISA R. RODRIGUEZ, State Bar No. 104838 Deputy Attorney General	STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
3	2550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090	SACRAMENTO July 9, 20 01 BY KIMBELL KINDMINANALYST
4	Telephone: (559) 444-2417	A Property of the state of the
5	Facsimile: (559) 488-7387	
·6	Attorneys for Complainant	
•	DETADE '	TTTT
8	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	STATE OF CAL	SIF ORNIA
10	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 08 2001 119217
11	DAN SODUSTA MALLADA, M.D.	ACCUSATION
12	1011 E. Sierra #102 Fresno, CA 93710	
13 14	Physician And Surgeon Certificate No. C-43360	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA I do hereby certify that this document is a true and correct copy of the original on file in this
1.	Respondent.	office I had have
15		The state of the s
16		Signstyre Controller of reca
	Complainant alleges:	
16	Complainant alleges:	Tile 2/19/2016 Date
16 17	PARTII	Tile 2/19/2016 Date
16 17 18	PARTII	Title 2/19/20/6 Date BS brings this Accusation solely in his official
16 17 18 19	PARTIF 1. Ron Joseph ("Complainant") capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical B	Title 2/19/20/6 Date BS brings this Accusation solely in his official
16 17 18 19 20	PARTIF 1. Ron Joseph ("Complainant") capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical B	Title Controller Controller Date
16 17 18 19 20 21	PARTIF 1. Ron Joseph ("Complainant") capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical B 2. On or about May 29, 1996, th	Title Date Date SS Date D
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	PARTIF 1. Ron Joseph ("Complainant") capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical B 2. On or about May 29, 1996, the Physician And Surgeon Certificate Number C-4336	Title Date
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	PARTIF 1. Ron Joseph ("Complainant") capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical B 2. On or about May 29, 1996, th Physician And Surgeon Certificate Number C-4336 ("Respondent"). The Physician And Surgeon Certif	Title Date Date SS brings this Accusation solely in his official oard Of California. The Medical Board of California issued to Dan Sodusta Mallada, M.D. The cate was in full force and effect at all times are on February 28, 2002, unless renewed.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	PARTIF 1. Ron Joseph ("Complainant") capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical B 2. On or about May 29, 1996, th Physician And Surgeon Certificate Number C-4336 ("Respondent"). The Physician And Surgeon Certificate relevant to the charges brought herein and will expirately approximately approximatel	Title Date Date SS brings this Accusation solely in his official oard Of California. The Medical Board of California issued to Dan Sodusta Mallada, M.D. The cate was in full force and effect at all times are on February 28, 2002, unless renewed.
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	PARTIF 1. Ron Joseph ("Complainant") capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical B 2. On or about May 29, 1996, th Physician And Surgeon Certificate Number C-4336 ("Respondent"). The Physician And Surgeon Certificate relevant to the charges brought herein and will expirately approximately approximatel	Date Date Date SS Date Da

Section 2004 of the Code states:

"The Division of Medical Quality shall have the responsibility for the following:

- "(a) The enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical Practice Act.
 - "(b) The administration and hearing of disciplinary actions.
- "(c) Carrying out disciplinary actions appropriate to findings made by a medical quality review committee, the division, or an administrative law judge.
- "(d) Suspending, revoking, or otherwise limiting certificates after the conclusion of disciplinary actions.
- "(e) Reviewing the quality of medical practice carried out by physician and surgeon certificate holders under the jurisdiction of the board."

5. Section 2220 of the Code states:

"Except as otherwise provided by law, the Division of Medical Quality may take action against all persons guilty of violating this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act]. The division shall enforce and administer this article as to physician and surgeon certificate holders, and the division shall have all the powers granted in this chapter for these purposes including, but not limited to:

- "(a) Investigating complaints from the public, from other licensees, from health care facilities, or from a division of the board that a physician and surgeon may be guilty of unprofessional conduct. The board shall investigate the circumstances underlying any report received pursuant to Section 805 within 30 days to determine if an interim suspension order or temporary restraining order should be issued. The board shall otherwise provide timely disposition of the reports received pursuant to Section 805.
- "(b) Investigating the circumstances of practice of any physician and surgeon where there have been any judgments, settlements, or arbitration awards requiring the physician and surgeon or his or her professional liability insurer to pay an amount in damages in excess of a cumulative total of thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000) with respect to any claim that injury or damage was proximately caused by the physician's and

surgeon's error, negligence, or omission.

- "(c) Investigating the nature and causes of injuries from cases which shall be reported of a high number of judgments, settlements, or arbitration awards against a physician and surgeon."
- 6. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.
 - 7. Section 2234 of the Code states:

"The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act].
 - "(b) Gross negligence.
 - "(c) Repeated negligent acts. .
 - "(d) Incompetence.
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."
- 8. Section 14124.12 of the Welfare and Institutions Code states, in pertinent part:
 - "(a) Upon receipt of written notice from the Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, or the Board of Dental Examiners of California, that a licensee's license has been placed on probation as a result of a disciplinary action, the department may not reimburse any Medi-Cal claim for the type of surgical service or

1.3

invasive procedure, that was performed by the licensee on or after the effective date of probation and until the termination of all probationary terms and conditions or until the probationary period has ended, whichever occurs first. This section shall apply except in any case in which the relevant licensing board determines that compelling circumstances warrant the continued reimbursement during the probationary period of any Medi-Cal claim, including any claim for dental services, as so described. In such a case, the department shall continue to reimburse the licensee for all procedures, except for those invasive or surgical procedures for which the licensee was placed on probation."

9. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Division may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence-Patient R.R.K.)

- 10. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 2234(b) and/or 2234(c) and/or 2234(d) in that during an epidural anesthesic infusion, respondent extubated R.R.K. then could not properly reintubate R.R.K. leading to a 10 to 20 minute period during which R.R.K. was oxygen-deficient. The circumstances are as follows:
- A. On or about March 13, 2001, the Board received an 805 Report filed by Kaiser Foundation Hospital indicating respondent's staff privileges had been summarily suspended. The 805 report stated that early in the procedure to intubate a patient, "the patient's heart stopped and a code was instituted. The back-up anesthesiologist was called and took over the case, removed the tube, and successfully intubated the patient. Dr. Mallada was immediately taken off duty pending the outcome of an investigation."

26 || 7

27 | ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
. 7
8
. 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
•

The facts underlying this matter are that on February 26, 2001, Patient R.R.K., a 26-year-old, 5 ft., 4 in., 270 lb. pregnant female, was admitted to Kaiser Permanente Hospital in Fresno, California, for labor and delivery. At or about 3:20 p.m., pursuant to a physician order stating "OK for epidural," respondent instituted an epidural anesthetic infusion. At 6 p.m., the fetus developed a non-reassuring heart rate pattern and the obstetrician decided to proceed to C-section. Anesthesia induction occurred at 7:05 p.m. with surgical incision at 7:11 p.m. After initial abdominal wall incision, respondent extubated the patient after noticing a problem with end tidal carbon dioxide tracing. Respondent tried to reintubate the patient but was having trouble. The remaining incisions were made hurriedly with infant delivery at 7:14 p.m. R.R.K. was eventually reintubated by Dr. Derby, apparently without difficulty. At or about 7:10 p.m., "code blue" resuscitation began with different accounts estimating that R.R.K. was oxygen deficient for 10 to 20 minutes during which time she suffered cardiac arrest for approximately 16 minutes. After reintubation and successful cardiac resuscitation, R.R.K. was transferred to the coronary case unit where she remained without regaining consciousness until her death on March 11, 2001. As a result of respondent's ineffective intubation of R.R.K., the extubation of R.R.K., and the difficulty respondent had trying to reintubate R.R.K., R.R.K. was anoxic for 10 to 20 minutes and never regained consciousness before passing away some two weeks later.

C. Respondent's conduct as set forth in paragraphs 10.A. and 10.B. above has exposed his certificated to discipline for gross negligence pursuant to Code section 2234(b) in that:

- He failed to immediately suspect esphageal intubation in the presence of end tidal carbon dioxide tracing (capnograph);
- He failed to recognize a potentially difficult airway in a high risk
 patient (obese and pregnant);
- 3.) He failed to take appropriate steps to deal with such an airway; and
- 4.) He failed to properly manage an ineffective epidural anesthetic.

1. Initials used to protect privacy. Names will be provided through regular discovery.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence/Repeated Negligent Acts/Incompetence - Patient R.R.K.)

- 15. The facts alleged in paragraph 10.A. and 10.B., above, are realleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth here.
- 16. Respondent's conduct, as set forth in the First, Second, and Third Causes For Discipline, whether taken collectively, or individually, or in any combination thereof, constitute gross negligence and/or repeated negligent acts and/or incompetence pursuant to Code sections 2234(b) and/or 2234(c) and/or 2234(d).

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence - Patient Number 84636582)

- 17. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 2234(b) and/or 2234(c) and/or 2234(d) in that he failed to properly manage an ineffective epidural anesthetic for labor. The circumstances are as follows:
- A. On or about April 13, 2001, the Board received copies of the Quality/Risk Management Reviews for four incidents involving the quality of care respondent provided, including that provided to Patient R.R.K. noted above. The care provided to R.R.K. was determined to be "NOT ACCEPTABLE." Two of the cases, Patient Number 8463658, and Patient Number 10533664, noted a "Significant Deviation from Standard of Care Most peers agree that the clinical practice was not appropriate." The fourth case was determined to be within the standard of care.
- B. The facts underlying the care provided Patient Number 8463658 are that on or about December 7, 2000, respondent consulted for neuraxial analysis for labor on a 37-year-old, pregnant female in active labor. Respondent placed an epidural anesthetic at the T12-L1 level which worked initially but, as labor progressed, did not seem to work at all. Dr. Derby relieved respondent and properly replaced the epidural anesthesic at the L4-L5 level which

^{2.} Patient number used to protect privacy. Names will be provided through regular discovery.

completely relieved all pain and discomfort. When Dr. Derby asked about the placement of the epidural anesthetic, respondent stated "L3-L4" which was not the placement Dr. Derby found. Dr. Derby also questioned respondent about the contraindicated use of NSAIDS (Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs) in pregnancy and especially labor. Respondent stated he had read about using NSAIDS but offered nothing more. Respondent's course of action is counter to the warnings against such usage in the Physician Desk Reference (PDR). Dr. Derby concluded he wasn't sure if respondent understood the significance of using NSAIDS in labor and the possible cause of non-closure of PDA (patient ductus arterious) in the child. Additionally, respondent did not document steps for troubleshooting patchy epidural anesthetics for labor.

- C. Respondent's conduct, as set forth in paragraphs 17.A. and 17.B. above has exposed his certificate to discipline for gross negligence pursuant to Code section 2234(b) in that:
 - 1. He failed to properly manage an ineffective epidural anesthetic for labor;
 - 2. He failed to document steps for troubleshooting patchy epidural anesthetics for labor;
 - 3. He failed to adequately document blood pressure and analgesic response;
 - 4. He improperly intubated the patient at the T12-L1 level rather than the L4 L5 level; and
 - He used Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDS) which are contraindicated in pregnancy and especially in labor.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts - Patient Number 8463658)

- 18. The facts alleged in paragraphs 17.A. and 17.B. above are realleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth here.
- 19. Respondent's conduct, as set forth in paragraphs 17.A. and 17.B. above has exposed his certificate to discipline for repeated negligent acts pursuant to Code section

•	
2234(c) in that:	
1. He failed to properly manage an ineffective epidural anesthetic for	
labor;	
2. He failed to document steps for troubleshooting patchy epidural	
anesthetics for labor;	
3. He failed to adequately document blood pressure and analgesic	
response;	
4. He improperly intubated the patient at the T12-L1 level rather than	
the L4 - L5 level; and	
5. He used Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDS) which	
are contraindicated in pregnancy and especially in labor.	
SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
(Incompetence - Patient Number 8463658)	
20. The facts alleged in paragraphs 17.A. and 17.B. above are realleged and	
incorporated by reference as if fully set forth here.	
21. Respondent's conduct, as set forth in paragraphs 17.A. and 17.B. above	
has exposed his certificate to discipline for incompetence pursuant to Code section 2234(d) in	
that:	
1. He failed to properly manage an ineffective epidural anesthetic for	
labor;	
2. He failed to document steps for troubleshooting patchy epidural	
anesthetics for labor;	
3. He failed to adequately document blood pressure and analgesic	
response;	
4. He improperly intubated the patient at the T12-L1 level rather than	
the L4 - L5 level; and	
5. He used Nonsteroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDS) which	
are contraindicated in pregnancy and especially in labor.	

1,5

EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence/Repeat Negligent Acts/Incompetence - Patient Number 8463658)

- 22. The facts alleged in paragraphs 17.A. and 17.B. above are realleged and incorporated by reference as if fully set forth here.
- 23. Respondent's conduct, as set forth in the Fifth, Sixth, and Seventh Causes For Discipline, whether taken collectively, or individually, or in any combination thereof, constitute gross negligence and/or repeated negligent acts and/or incompetence pursuant to Code sections 2234(b) and/or 2234(c) and/or 2234(d).

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence - Patient Number 10533664)

- 24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2234(b) and/or 2234(c) and/or 2234(d) in that respondent failed to monitor intracardiac pressures, intravascular volume, and systemic vascular resistance. The circumstances are as follows:
- A. The facts underlying the care provided Patient Number 1053364 are that on or about January 15, 2001, respondent performed a general anesthesia using a radial artery line on a 41-year-old male with malignant pheochromocytoma and renal failure who underwent excission of pheochromocytoma, left adrenalectomy and left nephrectomy. A central venous dialysis catheter was in place prior to the surgery but was not used during surgery for pressure monitoring or fluid management. The surgeon in this case. Dr. Soebagio, went to the anesthesia evaluator with his concerns and the evaluator concluded the incident was a window into respondent's "lack of basic medical knowledge."
- B. Respondent's conduct, as set forth in paragraph 24.A. above has exposed his certificate to discipline for gross negligence pursuant to Code section 2234(b) in that:
 - 1. He failed to monitor intracardiac pressures, intravascular pressure, and systemic vascular resistance in a high risk patient; and
 - 2. He failed to properly assess anesthetic and surgical risk in a high risk patient.

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 1 (Repeated Negligent Acts - Patient Number 10533664) 2 25. The facts alleged in paragraph 24.A. above are realleged and incorporated 3 by reference as if fully set forth here. 4 Respondent's conduct, as set forth in paragraph 24.A. above has exposed 26. 5 his certificate to discipline for repeated negligent acts pursuant to Code section 2234(c) in that: 6 He failed to monitor intracardiac pressures, intravascular pressure, 7 1. and systemic vascular resistance in a high risk patient; and 8 He failed to properly assess anesthetic and surgical risk in a high 2. 9 risk patient. 10 ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 11 (Incompetence - Patient 10533664) 12 27. The facts alleged in paragraph 24.A. above are realleged and incorporated 13 by reference as if fully set forth here. 14 Respondent's conduct, as set forth in paragraph 24.A. above has exposed 28. 15 his certificate to discipline for incompetence pursuant to Code section 2234(d) in that: 16 1. He failed to monitor intracardiac pressures, intravascular pressure, 17 and systemic vascular resistance in a high risk patient; and 18 He failed to properly assess anesthetic and surgical risk in a high 19 2. risk patient. 20 TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 21 (Gross Negligence/Repeated Negligent Acts/Incompetence - Patient 10533664) 22 Respondent's conduct, as set forth in the Ninth, Tenth, and Eleventh 29. 23 Causes For Discipline, whether taken collectively, or individually, or in any combination thereof, 24 constitutes gross negligence and/or repeated negligent acts and/or incompetence pursuant to 25 Code sections 2234(b) and/or 2234(c) and/or 2234(d). 26 27

28

2

3 4

> 5 6

7

8 , 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence/Repeated Negligent Acts/Incompetence

30. Respondent's conduct, as set forth in the First, Second, Third, Fifth, Sixth, Seventh, Ninth, Tenth, and Eleventh Cause For Discipline, whether taken collectively, or individually, or in any combination thereof, constitutes gross negligence and/or repeated negligent acts and/or incompetence pursuant to Code sections 2234(b) and/or 2234(c) and/or 2234(d).

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Physician And Surgeon Certificate Number C-43360, issued to Dan Sodusta Mallada, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Dan Sodusta Mallada, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;
- Ordering Dan Sodusta Mallada, M.D. to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and, if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring;
 - Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

Executive Director

Medical Board Of California

State of California Complainant

03573160-SA2001AD0871 IRR:lg